

PEOPLE AND THEIR GOVERNMENTS

PROF. PAUL EIDELBERG

It has been said that people get the government they deserve. This may not always be true. Sometimes the rulers of a government may be inferior to their people, as I believe is the case of Israel since the 1993 Israel-PLO Agreement. As I show in my forthcoming book *An American Political Scientist in Israel*, polls and election data indicate that a majority of the Jews in Israel opposed the government's fatuous and fatal policy of "territory for peace."

However, since Israelis persist in electing governments that pursue this futile policy, perhaps they are now getting the government they deserve?

This may also be said of Americans. That they could elect Barack Hussein Obama after 9/11 speaks volumes of their flawed mentality—and it will not do to blame the leftwing bias of the media or of academia. Obama gave considerable evidence of his being anti-American. Everyone knew, during his 2008 election campaign, of his long attendance at the church of his anti-American guru Jeremiah Wright. Succumbing to his mantra of CHANGE suggests that the American people got the change they deserve whether they now like it or not.

Of course, I am speaking of the majority. But the rule of the majority defines a democracy. Is democracy—at least one steeped in moral and theological relativism—a flawed system of government? Are all lifestyles and religions worthy of equal respect?

The day after 9/11 and the Jihadic murder of almost 3,000 Americans, President George W. Bush hastened to proclaim that Islam is a "religion of peace." President Obama's adviser on homeland security and counterterrorism John Brennan went even further by virtually praising the concept of Jihad!

Whatever his motives, words have consequences. Here's a charming example. Upon the death of Yasser Arafat, President George W. Bush declared, "We express our condolences to the Palestinian people."

Why condolences? Would it be appropriate to offer condolences to the German people upon the death of Adolph Hitler? Must not the German people be held responsible for the election and monstrous crimes of that tyrant? Must not the same be said of their Arab successors who call themselves called "Palestinians"? Are they not responsible for the election and monstrous crimes of Yasser Arafat and his successor Mahmoud Abbas who exalted suicide bombers?

Ponder the words of Albert Einstein:

The Germans as an entire people are responsible for the mass murders and must be punished as a people if there is justice in the world and if the consciousness of collective responsibility in the nations is not to perish from the earth entirely. Behind the Nazi party stands the German people, who elected Hitler after he had in his book [*Mein Kampf*] and in his speeches made his shameful [genocidal] intentions clear beyond the possibility of misunderstanding.

Were not Arafat's genocidal intentions toward the Jews of Israel also "***clear beyond the possibility of misunderstanding***"? Did not Arafat repeatedly declare Jihad against Israel since the day he signed the Israel-PLO Declaration of Principles of September 13, 1993? Was this not ***clear beyond the possibility of misunderstanding*** to the Arabs who elected him as their Fuhrer? Were they as benighted as Obama's national security adviser John Brennan?

Is it not ***clear beyond the possibility of misunderstanding*** that poll after poll revealed the desire of the Arabs called "Palestinians" to destroy Israel? Is it not ***clear beyond the possibility of misunderstanding that*** these Jihad-loving Palestinians glorify the suicide bombers who reduced Jewish men, women, and children to body parts? Is it not ***clear beyond the possibility of misunderstanding*** (Brennan aside) that they teach their children to emulate these murderers?

Must they not therefore be held collectively responsible for the brutal murder of Jews perpetrated by their despotic government, the "Palestinian Authority"? Hence, must they not be punished, as Einstein said of the German people, "if there is justice in the world and if the consciousness of collective responsibility in the nations is not to perish from the earth entirely"?

So why did President Bush offer these Arabs condolences on the demise of their leader, Yasser Arafat? Should they be filled with grief and mourn the passing of this thug—who robbed them blind and brought them only poverty, death, and destruction?

But what of the nations that honored this thug? The honor the nations bestowed on Arafat while he lived and the condolences they expressed on his passing—despite his countless crimes against humanity—starkly reveals the depravity of mankind. The same depravity is evident today among the nations that condemn Israel for blockading Gaza, whose ruling thug, Hamas chief Ismail Haniyeh, was elected by the Arabs of that sinkhole of evil.

Because the nations honored Arafat while he lived, they can't remove the stain of their own shamelessness; and so they must honor his corpse and his disciple, Mahmoud Abbas, and thus persist in their shamelessness. But in honoring these villains, do they not also honor the Palestinians who elected them ***in full knowledge of their genocidal intentions?***

Therefore: if the American people are not as benighted as Mr. Brennan, should they not be ashamed of a government headed by Barack Hussein Obama, a champion of these anything-but-innocent Palestinians?